Monterey Park
Draft Maps Discussion

April 3, 2019
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution of Intent, Nov. 7</td>
<td>Discussed and adopted by Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Hearings Dec. 5 &amp; 19</td>
<td>Held prior to release of draft maps. Education and to solicit input on the communities in the District.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two hearings on draft maps, February 20 &amp; March 20</td>
<td>Two meetings to discuss and revise the draft maps and election sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Hearing, April 3</td>
<td>Additional hearing to discuss and revise the draft maps and election sequence. Possible selection of a map and election sequence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordinance Introduction &amp; Adoption</td>
<td>Final map must be posted at least 7 days prior to adoption. Adoption must occur at a regular Council meeting at least 5 days after introduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 5, 2019</td>
<td>County Registrar’s deadline to receive adopted map for March 2020 election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 3, 2020</td>
<td>First by-district election held in three Council districts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>Trustee areas revised to reflect 2020 Census data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2022</td>
<td>First by-district election held in remaining two Council districts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Federal Laws
- Equal Population
- Federal Voting Rights Act
- No Racial Gerrymandering

### Traditional Redistricting Principles
- Communities of interest
- Compact
- Contiguous
- Visible (Natural & man-made) boundaries
- Respect voters’ choices / continuity in office
- Planned future growth
18 maps discussed Feb. 20
- 14 drawn by residents and 4 by NDC

Council selected six focus maps
- Maps 3, 4, 5, 9, 17 and 18
- All contain five districts and a majority-Latino CVAP district

Seven addition maps received after Feb. 20
- Maps 19, 20, 21, 22 and 23 added to “focus” group
- Maps 24 and 25 had legal/criteria problems

The same eleven “focus” maps considered March 20 remain under consideration today:
- Maps 3, 4, 5, 9, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23
At the March 20 hearing, the Council asked about drawing lines down backyard borders instead of street centerlines.

Unfortunately the population data used to determine the legality of maps comes from Census Blocks, which largely follow street centerlines.

The only way to ensure a map is legal is to use that Census Block population data.

The Registrar also now uses street centerlines to define precincts.

In the image to the right, yellow lines are streets, blue lines are Census Blocks (with population count labels) and red lines are precincts:
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Interactive Review Map
“2 in South” Maps
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Interactive Review Map
Picked based on how well they embody the criteria and the comments from the authors and from residents, NDC suggests the following three “focus” maps (one from each group):

- **“2 in South”**
  - 5. Lam

- **“Central District”**
  - 18. Green

- **“United South”**
  - 23. Lam 5d
Suggested Actions

- Discuss (and change, if desired) which map from each group should be the “focus” map
- Consider eliminating one or more of the three “focus” maps
- Discuss (and request, if desired) whether there are any detail-level concerns or changes desired in the remaining “focus” map(s)