From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: MPClerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: City Council Written Communication
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 5:59:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

City Council Written Communication

Individuals can complete this form to submit written comments to the City Council for a particular agenda item or for general comments within the City Council`s subject matter jurisdiction. Please submit a separate form for each agenda item. Only one written comment per meeting for general public comments.

Please note that while communications will be provided to all Council Members and be part of the official record, they may not be read out loud as part of the meeting.

The City does not allow video files. The public may submit printed copies of their presentation/communications. The City recommends that individuals submit 9 hard copies to the City Clerk for dissemination to City Council and staff.

Written communications are received 24 hours before a regular meeting and 3 hours before a special meeting.

City Council meetings are held on the the 1st and 3rd Wednesdays of every month at 6:30 p.m.

Monterey Park Municipal Code Section 2.04 et seq. This is a public document and subject to disclosure.

Council Meeting Date	8/5/2026
Please check one:	Agenda Item
Agenda Item No.	11.A
Please check one:	For
First Name	Matthew

Last Name	Meehan
Street Number	*Field not completed.*
Street Name	Riggin
Street Type	St
Apt #	*Field not completed.*
City	Monterey Park
State	CA
Zip	91754
Phone Number	*Field not completed.*
Email Address	*Field not completed.*
Representing: (self, name of organization, or other party)	Self
Written Communication	Honorable Council Members, As one longtime City resident, I want to express my support for the proposed ordinance and resolution contained in agenda item 11.A. This site at Garvey/Garfield has been a patch of dirt, doing nothing for Monterey Park for as long as I can remember. The proposed mixed-use project would make our community more vibrant and dynamic and would add 169 units of new housing. Thank you, Matthew Meehan
You can also upload your written communication	*Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: MPClerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: City Council Written Communication
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:45:22 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

City Council Written Communication

Individuals can complete this form to submit written comments to the City Council for a particular agenda item or for general comments within the City Council`s subject matter jurisdiction. Please submit a separate form for each agenda item. Only one written comment per meeting for general public comments.

Please note that while communications will be provided to all Council Members and be part of the official record, they may not be read out loud as part of the meeting.

The City does not allow video files. The public may submit printed copies of their presentation/communications. The City recommends that individuals submit 9 hard copies to the City Clerk for dissemination to City Council and staff.

Written communications are received 24 hours before a regular meeting and 3 hours before a special meeting.

City Council meetings are held on the the 1st and 3rd Wednesdays of every month at 6:30 p.m.

Monterey Park Municipal Code Section 2.04 et seq. This is a public document and subject to disclosure.

Council Meeting Date	8/5/2026
Please check one:	Agenda Item
Agenda Item No.	9A
Please check one:	For
First Name	Matthew

Last Name	Matthew
Street Number	*Field not completed.*
Street Name	Riggin
Street Type	Street
Apt #	*Field not completed.*
City	Monterey Park
State	California
Zip	91754
Phone Number	*Field not completed.*
Email Address	*Field not completed.*
Representing: (self, name of organization, or other party)	Self
Written Communication	Honorable Council Members, I spoke at the last City Council meeting in support of this proposed ballot measure. I'm writing here to express my continued support for putting this measure on the ballot in November. I noticed that a stretch of commercial properties on South Atlantic Boulevard from Staples to Daiso to Men's Warehouse is not included in the proposed HOME overlay policy maps. I understand that vacancies at these properties are currently low, but low vacancies today by no means guarantees low vacancies in the future. I encourage City Council to adopt the resolution adopting Land Use Policy Maps for the HOME Land Use Designation but as amended to include that additional stretch of commercial properties on South Atlantic Boulevard. Not too long ago, a vacant former Bank of America at one of those properties was torn down and replaced with a drive-thru Starbucks. Under an updated zoning scheme, perhaps the property would have been redeveloped as housing or mixed-use. Thank you, Matthew Meehan
You can also upload	*Field not completed.*

your written
communication

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.

From:	Tammy Sam
To:	Lo, Henry; Elizabeth Yang; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; MPClerk
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:12:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

The residents of Monterey Park has been made aware that there a campaign to deflock the city. This is headed by a District 5 candidate and outside organizations who are dead set on making our city less safe based on misinformation and exaggerated falsehoods.

My family has been in Monterey Park for generations and have seen the range of crime that has plagued our city. It ranges from serial killers like Richard Ramirez to home invasions to property theft. The rise in home invasions and property theft seemed to skyrocket during the pandemic.

It got so bad that the District 5 called for a neighborhood watch meeting. I hosted it in my front lawn and MPPD came. We were very vocal about needing more patrols and cameras. We are so thankful that MPPD heard our pleads and gave us the cameras we asked for as well as increasing visibility of patrol.

The deflock campaign does not know about the crime history in Monterey Park nor do they seem to care. They are advocating for the removal of the cameras without offering a viable alternative. All of it is based on wrongdoings from other cities and states. It completely ignores all the good that Flock cameras have brought to communities, including finding kidnapped victims, victims of trafficking, and property theft. This kind of campaign does not show leadership. It is a dictatorship.

Monterey Park residents have made it clear that we don't want a king in the White House. We also definitely don't want a king in city council who does not listen to his constituents.

Sincerely,

Tammy Sam, Joseph Buonya, Kylie Buonya, Bruce Buonya, Joshua Buonya, and Theodore Buonya

Sincerely,

From:	Steve Fujita
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:10:43 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, and Honorable Council Members,

I am a resident of Monterey Park writing to request that the City renew its contract for Flock cameras before it expires on August 30, 2026, and expand the number of these cameras throughout the community.

Given our proximity to Downtown Los Angeles, Monterey Park faces similar risks regarding property crime. We are already seeing issues like catalytic converter theft, and neighboring areas are dealing with significant copper wire theft and widespread vandalism. Expanding our use of Flock cameras will help monitor this activity and allow law enforcement to respond more effectively, protecting our city from these trends.

While I share some concerns raised by local advocacy groups regarding other data issues, I strongly support the continued and increased use of Flock cameras to maintain safety and security in Monterey Park.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best regards,
Steve Fujita

From:	Sam Cheung
To:	Lo, Henry; Yang, Elizabeth; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; MPClerk
Subject:	The De-flocking of Monterey Park by a Distict 5 candidate and outside organizations he has ties with
Date:	Wednesday, August 5, 2026 12:32:45 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Monterey Park City Council Members,

The residents of Monterey Park has been made aware that there is currently a campaign to de-flock our city. This is headed by a District 5 candidate along with outside organizations who are dead set on making our city less safe based on misinformation and exaggerated falsehoods.

My family has been in Monterey Park since 1977 and have seen the range of crimes that has plague our city. They range from serial killers like Richard Ramirez to home invasions to property thefts like catalytic converters. The rise in home invasions and property thefts seemed to have skyrocketed since the pandemic.

It got so bad that our District 5 had to call for a neighborhood watch meeting. My neighbor Tammy Sam hosted it on her front lawn and MPPD came. Many of my neighbors were very vocal about needing more patrols and cameras in our District. We were all so thankful that MPPD heard our pleas and gave us the the cameras we asked for as well as increasing visibility of patrol.

The de-flock campaign does not know about the crime history in Monterey Park nor do they seem to care. They are advocating for the removal of cameras without offering a visible alternative. All of that is based on wrongdoings from other cities and states. Their campaign completely ignores the good that Flock cameras have brought to communities, including finding kidnapped victims, victims of sex trafficking and property theft. This kind of campaign does not show leadership but rather it shows dictatorship.

Monterey Park residents have made it clear time and time again that we don't want a king in the White House just as we definitely do not want a king in our city council who does not listen to his constituents. I'm currently recovering from oral surgery over the weekend or else I would be personally speaking in front of the council on this Wednesday evening. Their campaign is completely offensive to the majority of the District 5 community. Thank you all for your anticipated attention and action to the above referenced matter.

Sincerely,

Sam Cheung

From:	Kate Shivley
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Wednesday, August 5, 2026 12:13:12 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Temple City. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Kate Shivley

From: Susan
To: Lo, Henry; Yang, Elizabeth; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; MPClerk
Subject: Anti Flock concerns
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 7:56:03 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

The residents of Monterey Park has been made aware that there a campaign to deflock the city. This is headed by a District 5 candidate and outside organizations who are dead set on making our city less safe based on misinformation and exaggerated falsehoods.

Although I am not in District 5, I understand the concerns against Flock cameras. I do not agree with them, but I do understand. However, as a mother who is deathly afraid of losing her children to sex trafficking or murder, I feel we need more security within our city. My neighbor had an intruder recently who I have caught on camera, returned to watch the house at least one time. Sadly my cameras couldnt capture the license plates. But I wish we could have. Every white car across the street raises my eyebrows. My neighbors and local officers a like come asking me for footage when something happens on my street. Obviously cameras are helpful in capturing criminals, its compelling evidence in any court case.

The deflock campaign does not know about the crime history in Monterey Park nor do they seem to care. They are advocating for the removal of the cameras without offering a viable alternative. All of it is based on wrongdoings from other cities and states. It completely ignores all the good that Flock cameras have brought to communities, including finding kidnapped victims, victims of trafficking, and property theft. This kind of campaign does not show leadership. It is a dictatorship.

Does No Kings apply to Monterey Park city council members? If so, I'd like to join that rally, please.

Sincerely,
Susan Truong

From:	Jasmine Pesantes
To:	Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Lo, Henry; MPClerk; Elizabeth Yang
Cc:	Galo Pesantes
Subject:	Monterey Park Flock Safety
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:45:14 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Members of the Monterey Park City Council,

I am writing as a Monterey Park resident to urge you to continue and renew the city's contract with Flock Safety and continue providing the Monterey Park Police Department with this important technology.

Our police department serves our community with limited staffing and resources, and tools like automated license plate readers give officers a critical force multiplier; helping them solve crimes, recover stolen vehicles, and respond more effectively to threats against our residents and businesses. In a city our size, technology like this often does the work that additional sworn officers would otherwise be needed to do.

Public safety should be a top priority for this Council, and I don't believe we should scale back proven tools that help our officers protect lives and property. I ask that you support continuing this contract and ensure MPPD has the resources it needs to keep our community safe.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Jasmine Pesantes
Monterey Park Resident, District 2

From:	Jose Arreola
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:25:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Hacienda Heights and have attended school and worked in Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Jose Arreola, Ph. D.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Tiffany Rice
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:22:48 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Hacienda Heights and have worked in Monterey Park for the past 20 years. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Tiffany Rice, Ph.D.

From:	Steve Fujita
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:10:43 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, and Honorable Council Members,

I am a resident of Monterey Park writing to request that the City renew its contract for Flock cameras before it expires on August 30, 2026, and expand the number of these cameras throughout the community.

Given our proximity to Downtown Los Angeles, Monterey Park faces similar risks regarding property crime. We are already seeing issues like catalytic converter theft, and neighboring areas are dealing with significant copper wire theft and widespread vandalism. Expanding our use of Flock cameras will help monitor this activity and allow law enforcement to respond more effectively, protecting our city from these trends.

While I share some concerns raised by local advocacy groups regarding other data issues, I strongly support the continued and increased use of Flock cameras to maintain safety and security in Monterey Park.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best regards,
Steve Fujita

From:	Emelyn Judge
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:09:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I reside in the city of San Gabriel very close to Monterey Park, which I often visit. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the city of Monterey Park:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Emelyn Judge
Member of the Green Party of Los Angeles
Resident of Nearby San Gabriel City

From:	Hrag Balian
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Yang, Elizabeth; Wong, Thomas; Ngo, Vinh T.; Alvarez, Inez; MPClerk; Berger, Karl H.
Subject:	Some Comments From Your Constituents About Flock
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:01:12 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Council Members --

I just want to pass along some of the raw comments that we're seeing from Monterey Park residents who have signed this petition. Please also take a look at the information being shared in this growing Instagram account, along with the comments in the posts, which are informative.

All the best,
Hrag

“Our community is more unsafe with Flock than without.”
- Steven, Resident of Monterey Park

“Surveillance is not safety, particularly when the surveillance is being carried out by a for-profit company. Flock cameras actually make our community less safe. Our civil liberties are not for sale. Down with Flock, down with the panopticon, get Flock out of Monterey Park.”
- Matthew, Resident of Monterey Park

“All flock does is infringe on 1st amendment rights and oppresses the poor, etc. Also used illegally such as ICE, etc.”
- Clotilde, Resident of Monterey Park

“We, the residents of Monterey Park, do not want mass surveillance!”
- Joyce, Resident of Monterey Park

“Privacy and constitutional rights infringed”
- Danien, Resident of Monterey Park

“Honestly, people with access to these cameras are going to misuse them and holding them accountable is going to be too difficult. It's better to not have them.”
- Gabriel, Resident of Monterey Park

“I don’t think the possibility of future crimes is enough of a reason to surveil our city”
- Alle, Resident of Monterey Park

“No more surveillance from unethical big tech companies”
- Jade, Resident of Monterey Park

“We do not need, or want this surveillance.”
- Donal, Resident of Monterey Park

“We are a city of people. Not of robots that follow strict rules with no care for humanity.”
- Ori, Resident of Monterey Park

“MPK doesnt need this. Its a waste of money and potential for abuse far outweighs its benefits.”
- Justin, Resident of Monterey Park

“The use of AI is wasteful in the city budget and this amount of money could be used elsewhere to improve existing infrastructure and support small businesses. With the majority of residents being families and the city having some of the most low crime rate in the region, it isn’t needed.”
- Lana, Resident of Monterey Park

“Why are we doing business with agency that is sharing our private information with ICE and allowing them to cause misery to some of our neighbors? Aren't we having enough problems in this country? Why add Flock to our daily lives?”
- Mary, Resident of Monterey Park

“Invasion or privacy!!!!! They must be stopped NOW!!!”
- Rebecca, Resident of Monterey Park

“Violating our privacy rights!!!!”
- Jose, Resident of Monterey Park

“This is America. The government cannot surveil us.”
- Dana, Resident of Monterey Park

“I am concerned about my privacy & the information collected by Flock being shared and used by agencies other than Monterey Park.”
- Yvonne, Resident of Monterey Park

“We saw what flock cameras are easily able to be used in delicious malicious ways in Texas with the county tracking a women who had an abortion. Monterey park does not need to have this technology nor does any where else”
- Anthony, Resident of Monterey Park

“They are most likely selling our data.”
- Joshua, Resident of Monterey Park

“Get flock out of mpk”
- Carla, Resident of Monterey Park

“Flock cameras are an unnecessary invasion of privacy. Like AI, it is technology we DO NOT need. We do not need our license plates read and our faces scanned for biometrics. We do not give consent to these things and so therefore I want the city of Monterey Park to end and NOT renew flock contract”
- Felicia, Resident of Monterey Park

“I believe this city needs a more secure locally controlled ALPR system than Flock. Cheapest is not necessarily the best choice. If that's the only criteria, then I don't think we should have

any at this point."
- Lloyd, Resident of Monterey Park

"I don't want to live in a surveillance state."
- Rose, Resident of Monterey Park

"No surveillance!"
- Charlene, Resident of Monterey Park

"I value my right to privacy."
- Kristen, Resident of Monterey Park

"The invasion of privacy and lack of transparency from FLOCK is the reason we should not renew the contract."
- Bill, Resident of Monterey Park

"M highly concerned with how this surveillance will be used and how it's infringing on our freedoms."
- Kana, Resident of Monterey Park

"The right to privacy is dwindling. Flock cameras have been abused to target people unfairly. Mass surveillance is dystopian."
- Madeline, Resident of Monterey Park

"potential abuse of this technology and privacy"
- Denise, Resident of Monterey Park

"NO to Big Brother & living in a surveillance state. NO more erosion of our rights & privacy & existing freely."
- Meridith, Resident of Monterey Park

"As a resident of Monterey Park who regularly drives, walks, and ambles about the City, I do not approve of the untimitigated surveillance that these Flock cameras offer, with seemingly no public benefit or civic accountability. I also believe Flock to be an immoral and unconstitutional enterprise."
- Robert, Resident of Monterey Park

"The city council are all democrats. They are untrustworthy to govern, as demonstrated by contracting for the Flock cameras without community input. They all need to be voted out of office."
- Kelly, Resident of Monterey Park

"flock is a tool for ICE. keep ICE out of MPK. keep the people who built this community, my neighbors, safe from unwarranted stops and harassment."
- jaredn, Resident of Monterey Park

"I have a right to privacy."
- Kai, Resident of Monterey Park

"Get the flock out of our city."

- Robert, Resident of Monterey Park

“Get flock out of here!”
- Crystal, Resident of Monterey Park

“No flock cameras they dont protect anyone. They just collect data to send to god knows where.”
- jack, Resident of Monterey Park

“i dont Agree !!!! we have our local police to do their job. iam a tax payer and dont want it. thank you.”
- maria, Resident of Monterey Park

“No Flock cameras in our neighborhood because we value our privacy and do not want third party agency such as ICE to have access to FlOck’s data and biometrics!”
- Jailuk, Resident of Monterey Park

“No illegal AI surveillance in MPK!”
- Monica, Resident of Monterey Park

“I want to keep my privacy and end surveillance.”
- Brandon, Resident of Monterey Park

“I have concerns of how police will use Flock's surveillance data as officers already have little to no accountability as is and have already been shown to misuse their own existing footages/database to stalk and harass citizens (Deputy Eric Piscatella).”
- Jimmy, Resident of Monterey Park

“I don't want cameras that stalk townspeople illegally... And we don't need this type of information to be shared by Flock in such a dangerous manner.”
- Chadwick, Resident of Monterey Park

“Ban all AI and flock from MPK”
- Alexis, Resident of Monterey Park

“Other cities are cancelling their contracts with Flock. Monterey Park should do the same.”
- Sylvia, Resident of Monterey Park

“Because I value my 4th amendment rights”
- Sam, Resident of Monterey Park

“Technology is advancing rapidly and regulations need to catch up. We must protect our privacy at all costs. This is an extreme level of surveillance that will not be tolerated.”
- Vivian, Resident of Monterey Park

“There is no third-party data supporting the efficacy of Flock. This is an unnecessary expense in Monterey Park and does nothing to make our community safer.”
- Emily, Resident of Monterey Park

“Do the right thing. I thank this city council for pissing off enough residents to this point. The

last time I remember this much public interest was Judy Chu vs Diane Martinez in the 80's. Please remember you represent your constituents, not other interests."
- Brian, Resident of Monterey Park

"Flock cameras are a complete waste of money and are causing nothing but harm in our city."
- Peter, Resident of Monterey Park

"We should not have mass surveillance in Monterey Park."
- Carol, Resident of Monterey Park

"It is very important for the city council and community to use funds towards necessary changes that our city needs."
- Eva, Resident of Monterey Park

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: MPClerk
Subject: Online Form Submittal: City Council Written Communication
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2026 5:59:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

City Council Written Communication

Individuals can complete this form to submit written comments to the City Council for a particular agenda item or for general comments within the City Council`s subject matter jurisdiction. Please submit a separate form for each agenda item. Only one written comment per meeting for general public comments.

Please note that while communications will be provided to all Council Members and be part of the official record, they may not be read out loud as part of the meeting.

The City does not allow video files. The public may submit printed copies of their presentation/communications. The City recommends that individuals submit 9 hard copies to the City Clerk for dissemination to City Council and staff.

Written communications are received 24 hours before a regular meeting and 3 hours before a special meeting.

City Council meetings are held on the the 1st and 3rd Wednesdays of every month at 6:30 p.m.

Monterey Park Municipal Code Section 2.04 et seq. This is a public document and subject to disclosure.

Council Meeting Date	8/5/2026
Please check one:	Agenda Item
Agenda Item No.	11.A
Please check one:	For
First Name	Matthew

Last Name	Meehan
Street Number	*Field not completed.*
Street Name	Riggin
Street Type	St
Apt #	*Field not completed.*
City	Monterey Park
State	CA
Zip	91754
Phone Number	*Field not completed.*
Email Address	*Field not completed.*
Representing: (self, name of organization, or other party)	Self
Written Communication	Honorable Council Members, As one longtime City resident, I want to express my support for the proposed ordinance and resolution contained in agenda item 11.A. This site at Garvey/Garfield has been a patch of dirt, doing nothing for Monterey Park for as long as I can remember. The proposed mixed-use project would make our community more vibrant and dynamic and would add 169 units of new housing. Thank you, Matthew Meehan
You can also upload your written communication	*Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? View it in your browser.

From:	Alle Mims
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 5:59:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

There are no assurances you or the police department can give us that would make working with Flock Safety okay. This company has no track record of reducing crime. The cases that do result in arrest, they take credit even while acknowledging the fact that there is no way to know if that case would have gone cold without Flock cameras.

This company **does** have a track record of alerts that lead to false arrests, facilitating stalking, and data breaches that resulted in live feeds of neighborhood cameras being leaked online including a live feed of a children's park. Are we really seeing enough results to ignore the misuses of this technology? Are there really that many car jackings and kidnappings in Monterey Park that we are desperate to be able to track every car that drives through our city? It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.
Thank you for your consideration.

Alle Mims
(they/them/theirs)
Columbia Playwright MFA '23
All Links

From:	Lisa Luu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 5:53:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Lisa Luu

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Lisa Luu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 5:53:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Lisa Luu

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Mark Munson
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Ban Flock Surveillance Systems
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:59:53 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra, and former resident of MPK. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City
4. Draft a proposal to ban the usage of Flock surveillance systems in Monterey Park indefinitely.

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Mark Munson

From:	Jason Rogers
To:	Lo, Henry; Yang, Elizabeth; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Ngo, Vinh T.; MPClerk
Subject:	General Public Comment - August 5, 2026
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:47:46 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Honorable Mayor and City Council,

I have lived in Monterey Park for 8 years and I am writing to provide general public comment regarding the city's relationship with Flock Safety.

Much of the public discourse about the City's use of Flock cameras will be about whether or not the Police Department's "improved" ability to catch criminals is worth the invasion of our privacy. Many will say that if we are not doing anything wrong, then why worry?

The conversation will be about the many headlines of crooked cops who have misused the system to stalk ex-girlfriends and arrest women who traveled from red states to get abortions. It will be about the company's data sharing with ICE and CBP. All of these stories are horrific, and the proposed solutions are about keeping bad actors away from the system.

However, no amount of improvements on Flock's data sharing system will change its core mission - which is NOT public safety - but the commodification of our data. Every photo it takes, every data point of our travels becomes part of a larger system of mass surveillance that the company can then profit off of.

We, as residents, did not consent to this. Please stop giving our sensitive data to a corporation we have no oversight over.

Flock Safety has only been around since 2017. The Police Department was able to solve crimes before Flock and they will be able to solve crimes after it.

Sincerely,
Jason Rogers

From:	Jason Rogers
To:	Lo, Henry; Yang, Elizabeth; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Ngo, Vinh T.; MPClerk
Subject:	General Public Comment - August 5, 2026
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:47:46 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Honorable Mayor and City Council,

I have lived in Monterey Park for 8 years and I am writing to provide general public comment regarding the city's relationship with Flock Safety.

Much of the public discourse about the City's use of Flock cameras will be about whether or not the Police Department's "improved" ability to catch criminals is worth the invasion of our privacy. Many will say that if we are not doing anything wrong, then why worry?

The conversation will be about the many headlines of crooked cops who have misused the system to stalk ex-girlfriends and arrest women who traveled from red states to get abortions. It will be about the company's data sharing with ICE and CBP. All of these stories are horrific, and the proposed solutions are about keeping bad actors away from the system.

However, no amount of improvements on Flock's data sharing system will change its core mission - which is NOT public safety - but the commodification of our data. Every photo it takes, every data point of our travels becomes part of a larger system of mass surveillance that the company can then profit off of.

We, as residents, did not consent to this. Please stop giving our sensitive data to a corporation we have no oversight over.

Flock Safety has only been around since 2017. The Police Department was able to solve crimes before Flock and they will be able to solve crimes after it.

Sincerely,
Jason Rogers

From:	Michelle A Torres
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:39:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.
Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Michelle A Torres

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are prohibited from sharing, copying, or otherwise using or disclosing its contents. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and permanently delete this e-mail and any attachments without reading, forwarding or saving them. v.173.295 Thank you.

From:	Michelle A Torres
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:39:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.
Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Michelle A Torres

NOTICE TO RECIPIENT: If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are prohibited from sharing, copying, or otherwise using or disclosing its contents. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and permanently delete this e-mail and any attachments without reading, forwarding or saving them. v.173.295 Thank you.

From:	Vivian Lieu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:25:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Rosemead, who works in Monterey Park and visits often to enjoy events such as the Farmer's Market and to do shopping and dining. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Vivian Lieu

From:	Christal Lee
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 4:04:24 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

- Christal Lee

From:	Teresa
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	♀Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 3:59:22 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

As a college student and lifelong resident of San Gabriel, I am alarmed to see the ***rapid expansion of Flock surveillance*** across the country, including my beloved corner of the world. *The SGV is my home*. First the AI data centers, and now this? We need to catch a break...

The rampant misuse of Flock by immigration enforcement agencies ***directly jeopardizes*** our community, a city that houses one of the largest Asian immigrant communities in the state. Mass surveilance should be limited to dystopian novels, not our daily realities. I have friends with ***undocumented parents and family members whose safety is at risk*** due to ICE patrolling, and now, the weaponization of "public safety" tools for mass surveillance.

I urge the city of Monterey Park to:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have practiced due diligence and have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Practice your duty as public officials. Please consider: what does "public safety" really look like? **Who really has our best interests in mind: private enterprise, or our elected representatives?**

Thank you,
Teresa Wong

From:	Analisa Gutierrez
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 3:48:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Analisa Gutierrez

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:	Eliza Tate
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 3:05:06 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of South Pasadena. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
[Your Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eliza Tate
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 3:04:31 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
[Your Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Alejandro Vasquez
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 2:50:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of South El Monte. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Alejandro Vasquez

Get Outlook for Android

From:	Alexandra Moss
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 1:51:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of South Pasadena who spends a lot of time in Monterey Park and loves it. But I'm extremely concerned about the city's relationship with Flock.

With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Alex H Moss

From:	Tiffany N
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 1:10:35 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members:

I am a resident of Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tiffany Nocon

From:	Joshua Garcia
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:36:20 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Josh Garcia

From:	Tammy Sam
To:	Lo, Henry; Elizabeth Yang; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; MPClerk
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:12:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

The residents of Monterey Park has been made aware that there a campaign to deflock the city. This is headed by a District 5 candidate and outside organizations who are dead set on making our city less safe based on misinformation and exaggerated falsehoods.

My family has been in Monterey Park for generations and have seen the range of crime that has plagued our city. It ranges from serial killers like Richard Ramirez to home invasions to property theft. The rise in home invasions and property theft seemed to skyrocket during the pandemic.

It got so bad that the District 5 called for a neighborhood watch meeting. I hosted it in my front lawn and MPPD came. We were very vocal about needing more patrols and cameras. We are so thankful that MPPD heard our pleads and gave us the cameras we asked for as well as increasing visibility of patrol.

The deflock campaign does not know about the crime history in Monterey Park nor do they seem to care. They are advocating for the removal of the cameras without offering a viable alternative. All of it is based on wrongdoings from other cities and states. It completely ignores all the good that Flock cameras have brought to communities, including finding kidnapped victims, victims of trafficking, and property theft. This kind of campaign does not show leadership. It is a dictatorship.

Monterey Park residents have made it clear that we don't want a king in the White House. We also definitely don't want a king in city council who does not listen to his constituents.

Sincerely,

Tammy Sam, Joseph Buonya, Kylie Buonya, Bruce Buonya, Joshua Buonya, and Theodore Buonya

Sincerely,

From:	penelope.orpe
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 12:01:13 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Penelope Orpe

From:	Deb Simone
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 11:25:11 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

GET THE FLOCK OUT OF MPK!

NOW!

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
I DON'T NEED TO BE WATCHED AND YOU DON'T HAVE A WARRANT OR PROBABLE CAUSE!
EXPECT A LAWSUIT FROM ME IF YOU DON'T CANCEL THIS CONTRACT!

STOP SELLING US OUT!

Deb Simone

From:	Dave Jones
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:53:45 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,
Dave Jones

From:	Ya Veren
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:15:30 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City
4. Never dabble in a surveillance state and facist policies again.

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Damien Rodrigues , Voter and Resident

From:	Tiffany H. Young
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:02:16 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company to trust with such sensitive information about our community.

They do not deserve our trust or our money for violating basic constitutional protections.

I urge you to reject the renewal of their contact and remove their equipment from the city.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Young

From:	Ida Lam
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 10:00:26 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Monterey Park and a local small business owner. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.
Thank you for your consideration.

Ida C. Lam, PharmD
San Gabriel Valley Pharmacy Consulting
idacatherinelam@gmail.com

From:	Nick Rabb
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 8:52:07 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am not a resident of Monterey Park, but a resident of neighboring Pasadena. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately

2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026

3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. And I'll also add that many companies suffer from these flaws, not Flock. Other cities are moving from Flock to other providers like Axon or Motorola, but the problems of surveillance and Federal data access persist even with these other providers.

I urge you to do some research into this issue so you can protect your residents. Surveillance technologies are proliferating at a dangerous pace. We need to understand the risks and protect our community.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Rabb

From:	Brian Errickson
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 7:21:52 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

Befor this pre-formatted email. I am furious. If I have to park may car covered in signs near the flock camera on Ackley and Orange daily, I'm down it's a short walk. I am done with this city council and is lack of commitment to its residents and anti-community decision making.

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
[Your Name]

From:	Aurora Mireles
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com; Aurora
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:43:33 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members,

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. I am also a public school teacher in East Los Angeles and I have neared witness to the harm ICE has done to my community, many who have come here to work and seek a better life for their children.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Our budget should be a reflection of our values.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Aurora Mireles

From:	ttuanmu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:27:40 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I work full-time at Monterey Park and live in Alhambra, which is neighboring Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Tori Tuan-Mu

Sent from my iPad

From:	Emily Chu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Tuesday, August 4, 2026 6:06:25 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Emily Chu

From:	Kenny Bahl
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 11:50:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Christopher Hsu

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Vivian Quach
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: suspend flock for the greater good
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 11:10:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I have been a resident of Monterey Park for 29 years. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Please continue to protect your citizens from extreme surveillance. We value our privacy as much as our safety. This isn't just about public safety, it is a precedent for the future of government surveillance. I am urging you to stand with your citizens.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Vivian

From:	Hrag Balian
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 11:06:59 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Hrag Balian

Get Outlook for iOS

From:	caleb.swyers@icloud.com
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 9:39:45 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Caleb

From:	Donovan
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 8:56:26 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Donovan Cheung

From:	Madeline Ocampo
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 8:16:09 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members - I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

People may think if you're not doing anything "wrong" then there's nothing to hide. But who defines what "wrong" is? You can be doing something seemingly protected and commonplace now but then be easily hunted down with the help of footage of 100s of these cameras. That dystopia is already becoming a reality but you can have a hand in reversing this dark path and ensuring that we instead invest in stronger happier communities. Thank you for your consideration.

From,
Madeline Ocampo

From:	Samuel Kahan
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 6:30:13 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Sam Kahan

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Light Apple
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 5:26:31 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Chadwick Arita

From:	Katharine Won
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 4:51:36 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Kat

From:	Virginia Villar
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 4:26:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best,
Virginia V.

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Amy Williams
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 3:39:45 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Montebello. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Amy Williams

From:	Joy Saavedra
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 2:08:53 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a Monterey Park resident of 30+ years and want to share my thoughts concerning a recent incident. A few weeks ago, my close friend's parents' home in Monterey Park was burglarized. The initial officer who visited their home to review the incident refused to acknowledge that a burglary had taken place despite the very obvious signs of a break-in and state of disarray that the home was in. It wasn't until my friend sent a strongly worded email to the Chief that a different officer visited the home and filed a report. I do not understand how the city justifies implementing a problematic, invasive system such as Flock while simultaneously not being proactive when an actual crime had taken place.

With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately

2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026

3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Thank you and I appreciate your time taken to read this email,

Joy Saavedra

From:	Liz Ramirez
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 2:02:01 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, and Honorable Council Members,

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration,
Liz Ramirez

Sent from my iPhone

From:	stephanie.e.han@gmail.com
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 1:33:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Stephanie-Elyse Jan

From:	Alice Li
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Re: Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 1:30:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

I am following up on this to add that Last Week Tonight recently shared a piece on how surveillance technologies, including Flock, make communities less safe. Technologies like Flock leave everyone in Monterey Park vulnerable to unwarranted surveillance, spying, and policing. Recordings can be accessed not only by our police department, but police departments and law enforcement agencies across the country, including ICE and other federal agencies, without any oversight. They can also be accessed by Flock employees themselves, exposing sensitive information to people who may use it for unethical purposes.

We should be looking to Big Brother as a cautionary tale, not as inspiration. Please cancel the contract with Flock and disable and remove all existing cameras from Monterey Park.

Thank you.

On Sat, Aug 1, 2026 at 4:31 PM Alice Li <aliceyawenli@gmail.com> wrote:

> Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
>
> I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.
>
> Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.
>
> The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.
>
> Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.
>
> Thank you for your consideration.

Alice

Virus-free.www.avg.com

From:	Jared Dyer
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 1:04:07 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration,
Jared Dyer

From:	Harold Tom
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Subject:	Suspend its use of Flock cameras
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 11:47:32 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Harold Tom
695 Bataan Pl
Monterey Park CA 91755-4264

From:	Lisadott
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 9:45:52 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a 3rd generation resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to demand that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Lisa Dott

From:	Lesley Zhao
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 9:45:08 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of El Monte. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Lesley Zhao

From:	Natalie Wong
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 9:44:54 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Natalie Wong

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:	J T
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 9:43:37 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
JOY

From:	Stacy Villalobos
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 9:24:15 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez and Honorable Council Members,

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Moreover, Flock cameras aren't even able to do what they purport to -- read license plates accurately. Recent news reports have detailed how, for example, in one California city, Flock misread license plates in 71% of alerts it sent to the police. This actively endangers public safety and diverts public resources. It also puts people who are mistakenly identified in danger and creates potential liability for the city.

Below are three such instances, as reported by CBS News:

In Española, New Mexico, a 12-year-old was handcuffed after an ALPR camera misread the last number of a license plate on a vehicle driven by her older sister as a "7" instead of the "2" it actually ended with, according to a lawsuit filed against the city. A month later, in a separate incident, a 17-year-old honors student was held at gunpoint in Española on his way home from school after officers mistook his vehicle for one associated with an individual who was being sought in connection with a string of armed robberies.

In Aurora, Colorado, in 2020, a mother and her family, including her 6-year-old daughter, were pulled over at gunpoint and forced to lie face down on hot pavement. Again, ALPR technology was central to the stop. Police mistakenly flagged their Colorado license plate as matching that of a completely different vehicle from a different state — a stolen motorcycle registered in Montana. The incident, captured on video and widely condemned, led to a $1.9

million settlement from the city in 2024.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration,
Stacy Villalobos

--
Stacy Villalobos
stacyvillalobos@gmail.com

From:	Bosco
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 8:18:11 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Bosco

From:	BEVERLY KUI
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 7:39:40 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.
Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Beverly Kui

From:	CYNTHIA BUTER
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 7:36:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Cynthia Hernandez Buter

From:	Elizabeth Bubriski
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 5:49:05 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Los Angeles but spend a majority of my time in Pasadena and Monterey Park/Alhambra with friends and family. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Elizabeth Bubriski

From:	stchavez77@gmail.com
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Flock Camera Contract
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 5:08:28 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor and Members of the City Council,

I do not support renewing the Flock camera contract.

I support public safety, but I do not support this type of surveillance.

I ask the City Council to let the current contract expire and remove the existing Flock cameras.

I also plan to keep track of the votes on this item.

Regards.

Salvador Chavez

From:	Victoria L
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 4:21:07 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Victoria

From:	julie herlocker
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 2:53:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

Although I am not a resident of Monterey Park, I visit often and have dear friends who live there. It's a special place, but I fear for its future unless things start changing.

With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Julie Herlocker
Los Angeles, CA

From:	Robert Miranda
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 2:27:02 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, and Honorable Council Members:

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Robert Miranda
District 3

From:	Nicole Leighton
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 2:17:23 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park (College View Drive). With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration,
Nicole Leighton

From:	Phoong Family
To:	Lo, Henry; MPClerk; Yang, Elizabeth; Wong, Thomas; Ngo, Vinh T.; Berger, Karl H.; Alvarez, Inez; Sanchez, Jose
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 1:23:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Phoong Family
32-Year Residents

From:	Camila Ryder
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:55:36 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra, and what happens in Monterey Park affects our city, too. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

As a local who knows how Monterey Park influences the rest of the SGV, it's imperative that you consider how your choices can impact not just your city but so many others around it.

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration and for your support of your Monterey Park (and local SGV) communities who want to feel safe and not surveilled.

Camila Ryder
Pronouns: she/her

626-353-5245
cryder341@gmail.com

Sent from an iPhone, so please excuse terseness and typos.

From:	Kin Kui
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:16:13 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Kin Kui

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Eleanor Lee
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:15:36 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Eleanor Lee
District 1
Sent from my iPhone

From:	kevin wu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:10:33 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kevin Wu

From:	Ulysses Ramirez
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:07:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Ulysses Ramirez

From:	Tristan Thai
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:01:16 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Monterey Park. I live in District 1. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I'm writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Tristan Thai

From:	Serge Vias
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 11:59:25 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members,

I am a concerned citizen. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
S Cabaruvias

From:	Derrick Chau
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 11:59:21 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Derrick Chau

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Barbara Ngai
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 11:55:29 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a neighbor of Monterey Park, I live in Alhambra. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

Flock cameras aren't even helping us stop crime now, why are we going to renew the contract? All I read about Flock on the news is how this private company breaks laws and violates our privacy.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Barbara N.

From:	Vince Inu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 11:34:27 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a business owner of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Thank you for your time,

Vincent Ngai
626.759.7473

From:	chrismcintyre69@gmail.com
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 10:38:35 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
[Your Name]

Sent from my iPhone

From:	sagengo
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 10:02:30 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Van

Sent from Proton Mail for iOS.

From:	Joyce Vega
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 9:07:32 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joyce Vega

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Cari C
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 8:26:22 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park with the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Cari

From:	Bosco Woo
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Sunday, August 2, 2026 12:57:32 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members

I am a resident of Monterey Park With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Here are some articles I read recently:
Georgia Has Arrested At Least 20 Officials for Misusing the Flock Surveillance Database
Florida Police Officer Used Mass Surveillance Network to Stalk Romantic Interest
Man sues Wichita over Flock cameras, says he's 'trapped' in unconstitutional 'dragnet'
In one California town, Flock misread license plates in 71% of the alerts it sent to police
I think you'll find more stories but a trend is clearly emerging.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Bosco Woo

From:	Lara Family
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 11:29:34 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of [Your City] With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Jerry Lara

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jackie Liu
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 10:32:21 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Rosemead. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Jackie Liu

From:	Phuong Truong
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 10:12:08 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Phương Trương

From:	BP Wong
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 10:11:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ben Wong

From:	Lupita Solano
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 9:15:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Lupita Solano
lupitasolano9@gmail.com

From:	Bill Torbey
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 9:04:49 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City:

1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately
2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026
3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City

It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system.

Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities.

Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Bill Torbey

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Gary Young
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 8:50:38 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Alhambra and frequently visit Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.
Gary Young

RELEVANT ARTICLES:

Flock Surveillance Systems Expose Washington Data to Immigration Enforcement:
https://jsis.washington.edu/humanrights/2025/10/21/leaving-the-door-wide-open/

Flock Safety Employees Watched Kids' Gymnastics Room to Pitch Surveillance Tech:
https://www.yahoo.com/news/us/articles/flock-safety-employees-watched-kids-151737512.html

Politics July 18, 2026 Police Keep Losing Their Jobs for Using Flock Cameras to Stalk People:
https://www.motherjones.com/politics/2026/07/police-losing-jobs-flock-cameras-alprs/

From:	Paola Romero-ceron
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 8:18:27 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members

I am a resident of East LA, neighbor of Monterey Park. With the city's current Flock contract end date quickly approaching (Aug. 30, 2026), I am writing to ask that the City: 1. Suspend its use of Flock cameras immediately 2. Not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026 3. Take down all existing cameras throughout the City It is increasingly clear that Flock is a dangerous company that invades our constitutional right to privacy, illegally collaborates with ICE and other federal agencies, and enables systemic abuse among agencies that can access its data. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against cooperating with ICE, so it is deeply alarming that our residents' information is contributing to this system. Public safety in Monterey Park doesn't require a mass surveillance network with a track record of privacy violations, misuse, and security vulnerabilities. Many cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Paola Romero-Ceron
East LA resident
Frequent patron of Monterey Park small businesses

From:	Corina Lara
To:	Cahlo@montereypark.ca.gov; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 7:49:30 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City. Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally. The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult. Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration.

Corina Lara-Giron

From:	Andrew Yip
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 7:18:29 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Andrew Siu Fung Yip - 葉兆峰
Pronouns: He | Him | 他
(626) 988-3849 | Andrew.f.yip@gmail.com
Founder of Matilija Lending Library

From:	lauren shen
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 6:39:37 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park in District 1.

I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City. Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input.

In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally. The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult. Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.
Thank you for your consideration.

Lauren Shen

From:	Ivonne Nieto
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 6:13:51 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ivonne Ben-Zvi, RN

Sent from Proton Mail Android

From:	Dana Standridge
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:26:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

This is America. The government does not have the right to surveil us.

Thank you for your consideration.
Dana Roberts

From:	Sidny
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:13:37 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Sidny R.

From:	Alma Osuna
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:13:25 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
[Your Name]

From:	Brooke Amiry
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:10:11 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Brooke Amiry

From:	Stephanie Parra
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:04:03 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Alhambra and deeply concerned about Monterey Park, my neighbor city, and the rest of the SGV. I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City. I know that if Monterey Park City council takes action on this, surrounding cities, like my own, have a great example to follow. Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally. The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult. Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration. Stephanie Parra

From:	Sheila Mah
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:02:35 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Sheila Mah

From:	Sheila Mah
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 5:01:03 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Sheila Mah

From:	J Sellers
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 4:49:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Jennifer Sellers
Resident of district 5

From:	Justin Leung
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 4:36:39 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

You have all done a great job keeping monterey park safe. I am not scared to walk down the street at any times of the day or night. We dont need flock. It is a waste of money, time, and temptation for abuse is too strong. Multiple scandals are happening nationwide for abusing flock cameras for surveillance. Monterey Park police department has a good reputation and its citizens trust them. Lets not change that.

I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Justin Leung

From:	Anne
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 4:33:04 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Anne Liu

From:	Alice Li
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 4:31:22 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Alice


Virus-free.www.avg.com

From:	Kristin Hardin
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Saturday, August 1, 2026 4:30:32 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration,

Kristin Hardin
Prop Master, Local 44
214-538-2155

From:	EnikOne
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 9:51:19 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Genaro Gascon

From:	Clotilde Jang
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 8:20:42 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default.

The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through.

As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable.

ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE.

Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Please do not renew Flock!

Thank you for your consideration,
Clotilde Jang and Family

From:	Yvonne Wong
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 5:43:16 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Yvonne Wong

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Roxana Farahani
To:	Lo, Henry; Sanchez, Jose; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	No more Flock Use
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 5:20:23 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -
I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City. Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally. The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult. Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next. Thank you for your consideration. Roxana Farahani

From:	Jesse Damon
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 5:15:35 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park, that is particularly troubling for me.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Jesse Damon

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jake Ly
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	I"m a resident of Monterey Park and I ask that the City suspend Flock until the community can weigh in on whether they want this technology
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 3:55:04 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jake Ly

Monterey Park Resident

From:	Matthew Eitzen
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Cc:	deflockmpk@gmail.com
Subject:	I"m a resident of Monterey Park and I ask that the City suspend Flock until the community can weigh in on whether they want this technology
Date:	Friday, July 31, 2026 2:08:24 PM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro-Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members -

I am a resident of Monterey Park and I am writing to ask that the City suspend its use of Flock cameras, not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout the City.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass, suspicionless surveillance that treats every resident as a suspect by default. The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through. As a resident of Monterey Park that is particularly troubling for me personally.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE. Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult.

Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next.

Thank you for your consideration.
Matthew Eitzen
ZIP Code 91755

Sent from my iPhone

From:	Jeremy Loudenback
To:	Lo, Henry; Alvarez, Inez; Berger, Karl H.; Ngo, Vinh T.; Sanchez, Jose; Wong, Thomas; Yang, Elizabeth; MPClerk
Subject:	Public Comment for Aug. 5 Council Meeting: Suspend Flock Until the Community Can Weigh In
Date:	Monday, August 3, 2026 7:59:00 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Mayor Lo, Mayor Pro Tem Sanchez, Honorable Council Members,

Resident of Monterey Park park. I am writing to ask that the City consider suspending its use of Flock cameras.

Please do not renew the contract when it expires on August 30, 2026, and take down all existing cameras throughout MPK.

Public safety should be built on community trust and diligent investigative work, not mass surveillance.

The pilot that started in 2019 has quietly expanded to 34 cameras costing taxpayers $100,000 a year, with plans to grow further, all without meaningful public input. In just the last 30 days, those cameras scanned nearly a million license plates, a staggering number that is roughly 20 times the number of cars in our entire city. Because Flock operates as a shared national network, we are surveilling not just our own residents but everyone who passes through.

As a resident of Monterey Park, I am disturbed by the use of this system and the idea that this should be implemented as a default, without oversight or without adequate consideration of the consequences.

The system's "hotlist," one of Flock's main selling points, is unreliable. ICE agents add persons of interest to the same database, and Flock hotlist hits have been illegally shared with ICE — an agency that is operating a sprawling dragnet that takes in thousands of people who are not among the most dangerous criminals.

Monterey Park is a multicultural community that has affirmed its stance against ICE cooperation, so this is deeply alarming. These are structural problems with a technology that makes mass surveillance easy and accountability difficult. Citing these and other concerns, cities across California, including Los Angeles, South Pasadena, Santa Cruz, Cupertino, and others, have already declined to renew their Flock contracts. Monterey Park should be next

Civil liberties matter.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Loudenback